arXiv:1405.1672v1 [math.CO] 7 May 2014

On some Versions of Conjectures of Bondy and Jung

Zh.G. Nikoghosyan*

May 8, 2014

Abstract

Using algebraic transformations and equivalent reformulations we derive a number of new results from some earlier ones (by the author) in more accepted terms closely related to well-known conjectures of Bondy and Jung including a number of classical results in hamiltonian graph theory (due to Dirac, Ore, Nash-Williams, Bondy, Jung and so on) as special cases. A number of extended and strengthened versions of these conjectures are proposed.

Key words. Hamilton cycle, dominating cycle, long cycles, large cycles, Bondy's conjecture, Jung's Conjecture.

1 Introduction

Most of classical results in hamiltonian graph theory are associated in conjectures of Bondy [3] and Jung [9] in terms of degree sums (minimum degree), connectivity conditions and special extreme cycles, called large cycles, with appropriate outside structures, including well-known Hamilton and dominating cycles as special cases with extremely simple outside structures.

Throughout this article we consider only finite undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. A good reference for any undefined terms is [4].

The set of edges of a graph G is denoted by $E(G)$. Paths and cycles in a graph G are considered as subgraphs of G. If Q is a path or a cycle, then the length of Q, denoted by $|Q|$, is $|E(Q)|$. Each vertex and edge in a graph can be interpreted as simple cycles of lengths 1 and 2, respectively. A graph G is hamiltonian if G contains a Hamilton cycle, i.e. a cycle containing every vertex of G. For C a longest cycle in G, let $\overline{p}$ and $\overline{c}$ denote the lengths of a longest path and a longest cycle in $G\backslash C$, respectively. We can suppose that $\overline{p} = -1$ when C is a Hamilton cycle.

Generally, a cycle C in a graph G is a large cycle if it dominates some certain subgraph structures in G in a sense that every such structure has a vertex in

*G.G. Nicoghossian (up to 1997)

common with C. When C dominates all vertices in G (that is $\overline{p} = -1$) then C is a Hamilton cycle. When C dominates all edges in G (that is $\overline{p} = 0$) then C is called a dominating cycle introduced by Nash-Williams [11]. Further, if C dominates all paths in G of length at least some fixed integer λ then C is a PD_λ (path dominating)-cycle. Finally, if C dominates all cycles in G of length at least λ then C is a CD_λ (cycle dominating)-cycle. In particular, PD_0-cycles and CD_1-cycles are well-known Hamilton cycles and PD_1-cycles and CD_2-cycles are often called dominating cycles.

Let G be a graph of order n and minimum degree δ. The degree sum of t smallest degrees among t pairwise nonadjacent vertices will be denoted by σ_t.

In 1980, Bondy [3] conjectured a common generalization of well-known theorems of Ore [14] (1960, $\lambda = 1$) and Bondy [3] (1980, $\lambda = 2$).

Conjecture A [3]. Let G be a λ-connected ($\lambda \geq 1$) graph and C a longest cycle in G. If

$$\frac{1}{\lambda+1}\sigma_{\lambda+1} \geq \frac{n+2}{\lambda+1} + \lambda - 2$$

then $\overline{p} \leq \lambda - 2$.

When $\lambda = 3$, Conjecture A was proved in 1987 by Zou [15].

The minimum degree version of Conjecture A contains two fundamental theorems on this subject due to Dirac [5] (1952, $\lambda = 1$) and Nash-Williams [11] (1971, $\lambda = 2$) as special cases.

Conjecture B [3]. Let G be a λ-connected ($\lambda \geq 1$) graph and C a longest cycle in G. If

$$\delta \geq \frac{n+2}{\lambda+1} + \lambda - 2$$

then $\overline{p} \leq \lambda - 2$.

For $\lambda = 3$ Conjecture B was proved in 1981 by Jung [8].

The first result related to Conjecture B was established in 2009 in terms of CD_λ-cycles.

Theorem A [12]. Let G be a λ-connected ($\lambda \geq 1$) graph and C a longest cycle in G. If

$$\delta \geq \frac{n+2}{\lambda+1} + \lambda - 2$$

then C is a $CD_{\min\{\lambda, \delta-\lambda+1\}}$-cycle.

Theorem A can be reformulated in terms of Conjecture B conforming that the minimum degree $\overline{c}$-version of Bondy's conjecture is true with some strengthening.

Theorem 1. Let G be a λ-connected ($\lambda \geq 1$) graph and C a longest cycle in G. If

$$\delta \geq \frac{n+2}{\lambda+1} + \lambda - 2$$

then $\overline{c} \leq \min\{\lambda - 1, \delta - \lambda\}$.

In this paper we prove two analogous strengthenings related to Conjecture B without any connectivity conditions.

Theorem 2. Let G be a graph and C a longest cycle in G. If

$$\delta \geq \frac{n+2}{\lambda+1} + \lambda - 2$$

then either $\overline{p} \leq \min\{\lambda - 2, \delta - \lambda - 1\}$ or $\overline{p} \geq \max\{\lambda, \delta - \lambda + 1\}$.

Theorem 3. Let G be a graph and C a longest cycle in G. If

$$\delta \geq \frac{n+2}{\lambda+1} + \lambda - 2$$

then either $\overline{c} \leq \min\{\lambda - 1, \delta - \lambda\}$ or $\overline{c} \geq \max\{\lambda + 1, \delta - \lambda + 2\}$.

In view of Theorems 1-3 Conjectures A and B can be naturally extended by adding $\overline{c}$-versions and can be essentially strengthened.

Conjecture 1. Let G be a λ-connected ($\lambda \geq 1$) graph and C a longest cycle in G. If

$$\frac{1}{\lambda+1}\sigma_{\lambda+1} \geq \frac{n+2}{\lambda+1} + \lambda - 2$$

then

$$\overline{p} \leq \min\left\{\lambda - 2, \frac{1}{\lambda+1}\sigma_{\lambda+1} - \lambda - 1\right\}, \quad \overline{c} \leq \min\left\{\lambda - 1, \frac{1}{\lambda+1}\sigma_{\lambda+1} - \lambda\right\}.$$

Conjecture 2. Let G be a λ-connected ($\lambda \geq 1$) graph and C a longest cycle in G. If

$$\delta \geq \frac{n+2}{\lambda+1} + \lambda - 2$$

then $\overline{p} \leq \min\{\lambda - 2, \delta - \lambda - 1\}$.

Now we turn to long cycle versions of above developments.

In 2001, Jung [9] conjectured a common generalization of two fundamental theorems in hamiltonian graph theory due to Dirac [5] (1952, $\lambda = 2$) and Jung [7] (1978, $\lambda = 3$).

Conjecture C [9]. Let G be a λ-connected ($\lambda \geq 1$) graph and C a longest cycle in G. If $\overline{p} \geq \lambda - 2$ then $|C| \geq \lambda(\delta - \lambda + 2)$.

The degree sum version of Conjecture C containing the theorems of Bondy [2] (1971, $\lambda = 2$), Bermond [1] (1976, $\lambda = 2$), Linial [10] (1976, $\lambda = 2$), Fraisse and Jung [6] (1989, $\lambda = 3$) as special cases can be formulated as follows.

Conjecture 3. Let G be a λ-connected ($\lambda \geq 2$) graph and C a longest cycle in G. If $\overline{p} \geq \lambda - 2$ then

$$|C| \geq \lambda\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda}\sigma_\lambda - \lambda + 2\Big).$$

The first result related to Conjecture C was established in 2009 in terms of CD_λ-cycles.

Theorem B [12]. Let G be a $(\lambda+1)$-connected ($\lambda \geq 0$) graph and C a longest cycle in G. Then either $|C| \geq (\lambda+1)(\delta - \lambda + 1)$ or C is a $CD_{\min\{\lambda, \delta-\lambda\}}$-cycle.

Theorem B can be reformulated in terms of Conjecture C conforming that the minimum degree $\overline{c}$-version of Jung's conjecture is true with some strengthening.

Theorem 4. Let G be a λ-connected graph and C a longest cycle in G. If $\overline{c} \geq \min\{\lambda - 1, \delta - \lambda + 1\}$ then $|C| \geq \lambda(\delta - \lambda + 2)$.

In this paper we prove two analogous strengthenings related to Conjecture C without any connectivity conditions.

Theorem 5. Let G be a graph and C a longest cycle in G. If

$$\min\{\lambda - 2, \delta - \lambda\} \leq \overline{p} \leq \max\{\lambda - 2, \delta - \lambda\}$$

then $|C| \geq \lambda(\delta - \lambda + 2)$.

Theorem 6. Let G be a graph and C a longest cycle in G. If

$$\min\{\lambda - 1, \delta - \lambda + 1\} \leq \overline{c} \leq \max\{\lambda - 1, \delta - \lambda + 1\}$$

then $|C| \geq \lambda(\delta - \lambda + 2)$.

In view of Theorem 4-6, conjectures C and 3 can be naturally extended by adding $\overline{c}$-versions and can be essentially strengthened.

Conjecture 4. Let G be a λ-connected ($\lambda \geq 1$) graph and C a longest cycle in G. If either

$$\overline{p} \geq \min\Big\{\lambda - 2, \frac{1}{\lambda}\sigma_\lambda - \lambda\Big\} \text{ or } \overline{c} \geq \min\Big\{\lambda - 1, \frac{1}{\lambda}\sigma_\lambda - \lambda + 1\Big\}$$

then

$$|C| \geq \lambda\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda}\sigma_\lambda - \lambda + 2\Big).$$

Conjecture 5. Let G be a λ-connected ($\lambda \geq 1$) graph and C a longest cycle in G. If $\overline{p} \geq \min\{\lambda - 2, \delta - \lambda\}$ then $|C| \geq \lambda(\delta - \lambda + 2)$.

To prove Theorems 2,3,5,6 we need the following two theorems.

Theorem C [13] (1998). Let G be a graph and C a longest cycle in G. Then $|C| \geq (\overline{p} + 2)(\delta - \overline{p})$.

Theorem D [13] (2000). Let G be a graph and C a longest cycle in G. Then $|C| \geq (\overline{c} + 1)(\delta - \overline{c} + 1)$.

2 Proofs

Proofs of Theorems 1 and 4. Theorem 1 follows immediately since it is not hard to see from the definition that C is a CD_λ-cycle if and only if $\overline{c} \leq \lambda - 1$. To prove Theorem 4, we can reformulate Theorem B in the following way by taking $\lambda - 1$ instead of λ: if G is a λ-connected graph ($\lambda \geq 1$) then either $|C| \geq \lambda(\delta - \lambda + 2)$ or C is a $CD_{\min\{\lambda-1,\delta-\lambda+1\}}$-cycle. Further, since $\overline{c} \geq \min\{\lambda - 1, \delta - \lambda + 1\}$ (by the hypothesis), we conclude that C is not a $CD_{\min\{\lambda-1,\delta-\lambda+1\}}$-cycle, implying that $|C| \geq \lambda(\delta - \lambda + 2)$. ■

Proof of Theorem 2. By the hypothesis, $n \leq (\lambda + 1)(\delta - \lambda + 2) - 2$. On the other hand, we have $n \geq |C| + \overline{p} + 1$. Since $|C| \geq (\overline{p} + 2)(\delta - \overline{p})$ (by Theorem C), we have

$$n \geq (\overline{p} + 2)(\delta - \overline{p}) + \overline{p} + 1 = (\overline{p} + 2)(\delta - \overline{p} + 1) - 1.$$

Thus

$$(\lambda + 1)(\delta - \lambda + 2) \geq (\overline{p} + 2)(\delta - \overline{p} + 1) + 1,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(\lambda - \overline{p} - 1)(\delta - \lambda - \overline{p}) \geq 1.$$

Then we have either

$$\lambda - \overline{p} - 1 \geq 1 \ \text{ and } \ \delta - \lambda - \overline{p} \geq 1,$$

which is equivalent to $\overline{p} \leq \min\{\lambda - 2, \delta - \lambda - 1\}$, or

$$\lambda - \overline{p} - 1 \leq -1 \ \text{ and } \ \delta - \lambda - \overline{p} \leq -1,$$

which is equivalent to $\overline{p} \geq \max\{\lambda, \delta - \lambda + 1\}$. ■

Proof of Theorem 3. By the hypothesis, $n \leq (\lambda + 1)(\delta - \lambda + 2) - 2$. On the other hand, we have $n \geq |C| + \overline{c}$. Since $|C| \geq (\overline{c} + 1)(\delta - \overline{c} + 1)$ (by Theorem D), we have

$$n \geq (\overline{c} + 1)(\delta - \overline{c} + 1) + \overline{c} = (\overline{c} + 1)(\delta - \overline{c} + 2) - 1.$$

Thus

$$(\lambda + 1)(\delta - \lambda + 2) \geq (\overline{c} + 1)(\delta - \overline{c} + 2) + 1,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(\lambda - \overline{c})(\delta - \lambda - \overline{c} + 1) \geq 1.$$

Then we have either

$$\lambda - \overline{c} \geq 1 \text{ and } \delta - \lambda - \overline{c} + 1 \geq 1,$$

which is equivalent to $\overline{c} \leq \min\{\lambda - 1, \delta - \lambda\}$, or we have

$$\lambda - \overline{c} \leq -1 \text{ and } \delta - \lambda - \overline{c} + 1 \leq -1,$$

which is equivalent to $\overline{c} \geq \max\{\lambda + 1, \delta - \lambda + 2\}$. ■

Proof of Theorem 5. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1. $\min\{\lambda - 2, \delta - \lambda\} = \lambda - 2$.

By the hypothesis, $\lambda - 2 \leq \overline{p} \leq \delta - \lambda$. Then

$$(\overline{p} - \lambda + 2)(\delta - \overline{p} - \lambda) \geq 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(\overline{p} + 2)(\delta - \overline{p}) \geq \lambda(\delta - \lambda + 2).$$

Since $|C| \geq (\overline{p} + 2)(\delta - \overline{p})$ (by Theorem C), we have $|C| \geq \lambda(\delta - \lambda + 2)$.

Case 2. $\min\{\lambda - 2, \delta - \lambda\} = \delta - \lambda$.

By the hypothesis, $\delta - \lambda \leq \overline{p} \leq \lambda - 2$, implying that

$$(\overline{p} - \lambda + 2)(\delta - \overline{p} - \lambda) \geq 0$$

and we can argue as in Case 1. ■

Proof of Theorem 6. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1. $\min\{\lambda - 1, \delta - \lambda + 1\} = \lambda - 1$.

By the hypothesis, $\lambda - 1 \leq \overline{c} \leq \delta - \lambda + 1$. Then

$$(\overline{c} - \lambda + 1)(\delta - \overline{c} - \lambda + 1) \geq 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(\overline{c}+1)(\delta-\overline{c}+1) \geq \lambda(\delta-\lambda+2).$$

Since $|C| \geq (\overline{c}+1)(\delta-\overline{c}+1)$ (by Theorem D), we have $|C| \geq \lambda(\delta-\lambda+2)$.

Case 2. $\min\{\lambda-1, \delta-\lambda+1\} = \delta-\lambda+1$.
By the hypothesis, $\delta-\lambda+1 \leq \overline{c} \leq \lambda-1$, implying that

$$(\overline{c}-\lambda+1)(\delta-\overline{c}-\lambda+1) \geq 0$$

and we can argue as in Case 1. ■

References

[1] J.C. Bermond, On hamiltonian walks, Congr Numer 15 (1976) 41-51.

[2] J.A. Bondy, Large cycles in graphs, Discrete Math. 1 (1971) 121-131.

[3] J.A. Bondy, Longest paths and cycles in graphs of high degree, Research Report CORR 80-16. University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, 1980.

[4] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, Macmillan, London and Elsevier, New York (1976).

[5] G.A. Dirac, Some theorems on abstract graphs, Proc. London, Math. Soc. 2 (1952) 69-81.

[6] P. Fraisse and H.A. Jung, Longest cycles and independent sets in k-connected graphs, in V.R. Kulli. ed., Recent Studies in Graph Theory (Vischwa Internat. Publ. Gulbarga, India, 1989) 114-139.

[7] H.A. Jung, On maximal circuits in finite graphs, Ann. Discrete Math. 3 (1978) 129-144.

[8] H.A. Jung, Longest circuits in 3-connected graphs, Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai 37, Finite and Infinite sets, Eger (1981) 403-438.

[9] H.A. Jung, Degree bounds for long paths and cycles in k-connected graphs, in Computational Discrete Mathematics, v. 2122 of Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., Springer, Berlin (2001) 56-60.

[10] N. Linial, A lower bound on the circumference of a graph, Discrete Math. 15 (1976) 297-300.

[11] C.St.J.A. Nash-Williams, Edge-disjoint hamiltonian cycles in graphs with vertices of large valency, in: L. Mirsky, ed., "Studies in Pure Mathematics", pp. 157-183, Academic Press, San Diego/London (1971).

[12] Zh.G. Nikoghosyan, Dirac-type generalizations concerning large cycles in graphs, Discrete Math. 309 (2009) 1925-1930.

[13] Zh.G. Nikoghosyan, Advanced Lower Bounds for the Circumference, Graphs and Combinatorics 29 (2013) 1531-1541.

[14] O. Ore, A note on hamiltonian circuits, Am. Math. Month. 67 (1960) 55.

[15] Y. Zou, A generalization of a theorem of Jung, J. Nanjing Normal Univ. Nat Sci. Ed. 2 (1987) 8-11.

Institute for Informatics and Automation Problems
National Academy of Sciences
P. Sevak 1, Yerevan 0014, Armenia
E-mail: zhora@ipia.sci.am